

## SL IA Rubric 2016-17

	<u><b>Criterion A:</b></u> Supporting Documents <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student selects 3 to 5 relevant supporting documents that address the issue or problem selected for commentary in appropriate depth and breadth. The criterion also assesses whether the student's choice and presentation of the supporting documents meets formal requirements.</i>	<u><b>Criterion B:</b></u> Choice & Application of tools, techniques, & theories <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student chooses business management tools, techniques, and theories that are relevant to the issue or problem and applies these so that a greater insight into the situation of the organization ensues.</i>	<u><b>Criterion C:</b></u> Choice & analysis of data & integration of ideas <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student uses data from the supporting documents effectively in order to understand and explain the issue or problem and is able to integrate ideas coherently.</i>	<u><b>Criterion D:</b></u> Conclusions <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student is able to draw relevant conclusions based on the analysis of the supporting documents and answer the commentary question.</i>
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	There are only 1 or 2, or more than 5 supporting documents, or they are of marginal relevance	There is a limited selection of business management tools, techniques, and theories, and these are not applied.	There is a limited selection of data from the supporting documents but no analysis.	Conclusions are inconsistent with the evidence presented, or conclusions are superficial.
2	The supporting documents are generally relevant but some lack depth, or they were published more than three years prior to the submission of the IA to the IB, or they are not all translated into the language of submission.	There is a limited selection of business management tools, techniques, and theories, and these are superficially applied.	There is a limited selection of data from the supporting documents with superficial analysis.	Some conclusions are consistent with the evidence presented.
3	The supporting documents are relevant and sufficiently in-depth.	There is an appropriate selection of business management tools, techniques, and theories, but these are superficially applied.	There is an appropriate selection of data from the supporting documents with satisfactory analysis.	Conclusions are consistent with the evidence presented and explicitly answer the commentary question.
4	The supporting documents are relevant, sufficiently in-depth, and provide a range of ideas and views.	There is an appropriate selection of business management tools, techniques, and theories, and these are suitably applied.	There is an appropriate selection of data from the supporting documents with good analysis and some integration of ideas.	
5		There is an appropriate selection of business management tools, techniques, and theories, and these are skillfully applied.	There is an appropriate selection of data from the supporting documents with skillful analysis and a coherent integration of ideas.	
	<u><b>Criterion E:</b></u> Evaluation <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student evaluates his or her arguments and makes judgements that are well substantiated.</i>	<u><b>Criterion F:</b></u> Structure <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student organizes his or her ideas into a structured commentary with an argument that is easy to follow.</i>	<u><b>Criterion G:</b></u> Presentation <i>This criterion assesses the extent to which the student presents the written commentary well. This involves a title page, an accurate table of contents, appropriate headings and sub-headings, consistent referencing, a complete bibliography and numbered pages.</i>	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	
1	There is limited evidence of evaluation.	Limited structure.	One or more of the above elements of a well presented commentary is missing.	
2	There is evidence of evaluation and some judgments are substantiated.	Appropriate structure.	All of the above elements of a well presented commentary are included.	
3	There is evidence of evaluation, and judgments are substantiated.			
4	There is thorough evidence of evaluation, and judgments are well substantiated.			